	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/822,770	FUKUSHIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James R. Harvey	2833
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. This communication is responsive to <u>telephone interview dated 5-24-05</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1 and 4-11.		
3. The drawings filed on 13 April 2004 are accepted by the Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una a) All b)	been received. been received in Application No. cuments have been received in thi of this communication to file a repl IENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAMINE as reason(s) why the oath or decla be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTC) s Amendment / Comment or in the .84(c)) should be written on the draw the header according to 37 CFR 1.12 sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL	s national stage application from the y complying with the requirements R'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ration is deficient. D-948) attached Office action of vings in the front (not the back) of 1(d). must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date	6. ⊠ Interview Summa Paper No./Mail D 08), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amen	ate <u>5-24-05-</u> .
•		

Application/Control Number: 10/822,770 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312.

To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Jill DeMello on 4-24-05.

- 2. The application has been amended as follows:
- -- Cancel claim(s) 2.
- -- Amend line 6 of claim 1 by inserting –first—before "protruding".
- -- Amend line 9 of claim 1 by inserting -first—before "protruding".
- -- Between lines 10 and 11 of claim 1 insert –a second protruding piece which protrudes inwardly from an inner edge of said solid-core ring-shaped packing body;--.
- -- Amend line 11 of claim 1 by inserting –first— before "protruding".
- -- Amend line 12 of claim 1 by inserting –first—before "protruding".
- -- Amend line 1 of claim 4 by deleting "2" and inserting -1—before "further".
- -- Amend line 2 of claim 5 by inserting –first—before "protruding".
- -- Amend line 4 of claim 5 by inserting –first— before "protruding".
- -- Amend line 5 of claim 11 by inserting –first— before "protruding".
- -- Amend line 7 of claim 11 by inserting –first—before "protruding".

Application/Control Number: 10/822,770 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

-- Before line 9 of claim 11 insert –a second protruding piece which protrudes inwardly from an inner edge of said packing body;--.

- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not show a first protruding piece that protrudes outwardly from an outer edge of the packing body at a midpoint along the thickness of the packing body, a securing portion attached to or extending from the first protruding piece and a second protruding piece that protrudes inwardly from an inner edge of the packing body, in combination with all the other elements of the claim and the examiner knows of no permissible motivation to combine the prior art such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

- 4. The following is an examiner's summary of the interview: During the interview, it was agreed that it would not be obvious to combine prior art Nye et al. (US 2903626) and Koumatsu et al. (US 5879178) because of the claimed orientation and location of the claimed first and second protruding pieces and the securing portion.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Harvey whose telephone number is 571-272-2007. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,770

Art Unit: 2833

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 33. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2800.

James R. Harvey, Examiner

jrh

May 24, 2005

RENEE LUEBRE